IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE NASHVILLE DIVISION

IN RE:)
AREDIA and ZOMETA PRODUCTS)
LIABILITY LITIGATION)
)
This Document Relates To Case Numbers:)
3-07-0239 (Begley))
3-07-0663 (Buza))
3-07-1182 (Caruthers))
3-07-470 (Feller)) NO. 3-06-MD-1760
3-07-394 (Grant)) JUDGE CAMPBELL
3-07-959 (Horn))
3-07-664 (Martin))
3-07-536 (McBride))
3-07-1072 (Ross))
3-07-0538 (Sanderson))
3-07-107 (Stinson))
3-08-920 (McKinnon))
3-08-1082 (Watts))

SUGGESTION OF REMAND

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407 and the Rules for Multidistrict Litigation promulgated thereunder, specifically Rule 7.6(c)(ii), the Court suggests that this action be remanded to the transferor district court. For the reasons stated in the Court's prior ruling on Plaintiffs' Motion for Suggestion of Remand (Docket Nos. 4153-54), which was approved by the U.S. Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (Docket No. 4395), the Court finds that the purposes of the MDL have been accomplished in this case¹. The etransferor court may refer to this Court's Order Summarizing MDL 1760 Proceedings Upon Suggestion

As stated in its August 1, 2012 Order, the Court is convinced that the bellwether process in this MDL litigation is no longer helpful. The Court has ordered that all MDL cases should be remanded hereafter before *Daubert* and dispositive motions are filed to avoid wasteful and duplicate briefing. Docket No. 6072.

of Remand (Docket No. 4695). The Clerk is directed to serve a copy of this Suggestion of Remand upon the Clerk of the MDL Panel.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

TODD J. CAMPBELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE